### Jefferson County Land & Water Conservation Committee Agenda "Working Together to Protect & Enhance the Environment"

Jefferson County Courthouse 311 S Center Ave, Rm 112 Jefferson, WI 53549-1701

Wednesday, June 19, 2019 @ 8:30 am

Committee Members: Matt Foelker (Chair), Walt Christensen (Vice-Chair), Ed Morse (Secretary), Dan Herbst (UWEX Member), Mike Kelly (UWEX Member), Frank Anfang (FSA Rep), and Margaret Burlingham (Public Member)

- Call to Order
- 2. Roll Call (Establish a Quorum)
- 3. Certification of Compliance with the Open Meetings Law
- 4. Review of the June Agenda
- 5. Approval of the May 15, 2019 Meeting Minutes
- 6. Public Comment (members of the public who wish to address the Committee on specific agenda items must register their request at this time)
- 7. Communications
  - Department of Agriculture, Trade & Consumer Protection (DATCP) June 2019 Report
- 8. Discussion on Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Report
- 9. Discussion on Departmental Updates
- 10. Discussion on Tree Sale Report
- 11. Discussion and Possible Action on County Farm Tile System and Waterway Design
- 12. Discussion on Farm Technology Days
- 13. Discussion on Jefferson County Fair
- 14. Discussion and Possible Action on Speaker's Task Force on Water Quality
- 15. Discussion and Possible Action on Notices of Noncompliance Farmland Preservation Program (FPP)
- 16. Discussion and Possible Action on Cancellation of Notices of Noncompliance FPP
- 17. Review of the Monthly Financial Report (April)
- 18. Discussion and Possible Action on Purchase of Agriculture Conservation Easement (PACE) Applications & Baseline Documentation
- 19. Discussion on Items for the Next Agenda
- 20. Next Scheduled Meeting:
  - July 17, 2019 @ 8:30 am in Room 112
- 21. Adjournment

A quorum of any Jefferson County Committee, Board, Commission or other body, including the Jefferson County Board of Supervisors, may be present at this meeting.

Individuals requiring special accommodations for attendance at this meeting should contact the County Administrator 24 hours prior to the meeting at (920) 674-7101 so appropriate arrangements can be made.

# Land & Water Conservation Committee Minutes May 15, 2019

#### 1. Call to Order:

The monthly meeting was called to order by Matt Foelker at 8:30 am. Committee members Matt Foelker (Chairperson), Walt Christensen (Vice-Chairperson), Ed Morse (Secretary), Dan Herbst (UWEX Member), Mike Kelly (UWEX Member), Frank Anfang (FSA Rep) (@ 8:35), and Margaret Burlingham (Public Member) were present. Also in attendance were Patricia Cicero, Interim Director, Land & Water Conservation Department (LWCD); Kim Liakopoulos, LWCD; Gerry Kokkonen, LWCD; and LaVern Georgson, UWEX.

 Others in Attendance: Anita Martin, Ronni Monroe, Wes Dawson, Nathan Pyles, Dyan Pasono, Kurt Lund

### 2. Roll Call (Establish a Quorum):

A quorum was established.

### 3. Certification of Compliance with the Open Meetings Law:

It was determined that the committee was in compliance with the Open Meetings Law.

### 4. Review of the May Agenda:

The May agenda was reviewed by the committee members. No changes were proposed.

### 5. Approval of the April 17, 2019 Meeting Minutes:

Herbst made a motion to approve the April 17, 2019 meeting minutes as written, Kelly seconded. Motion passed 6/0.

#### 6. Public Comment:

Burlingham & Christensen attended the 4<sup>th</sup> Grade Farm Tour and gave the Apple as the Earth demonstration to approximately 900 attendees. The demonstration was well received and garnered positive feedback.

### 7. Communications:

Department of Agriculture, Trade & Consumer Protection May 2019 Report.

### 8. Discussion on Departmental Updates:

Tree Sale is complete for 2018/2019. All of the trees sold out and were picked up on the specified dates. A grassed waterway estimate has been requested for the County Farm. Stream monitor training is set to begin 6/1/19.

### 9. Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Report:

NRCS didn't attend the meeting therefore a report was unavailable. Friday, May 17<sup>th</sup> is the deadline for producers to apply for soil health practices like cover crops.

### 10. Discussion on Farm Technology Days - LaVern Georgson:

Georgson updated the committee on the progress Farm Technology Days progress which is 10 weeks away.

### 11. Discussion and Presentation on Rock Lake Management Plan - Nathan Pyles, Rock Lake Improvement Association (RLIA):

Pyles gave a presentation outlining the Rock Lake Management Plan, highlighted the recommendations that have been reached, and spoke about future goals.

### 12. Discussion and Possible Action on Notices of Noncompliance - Farmland Preservation Program (FPP): Voluntary - Witalig Szwec Trust

Christensen made a motion to accept the notice, Anfang seconded. Motion passed 7/0.

### 13. Discussion and Possible Action on Cancellation of Notices of Noncompliance - FPP:

There were no cancellations.

### 14. Review of the Monthly Financial Report (March):

The most recent statement of revenues and expenditures was distributed.

### 15. Discussion and Possible Action on Purchase of Agriculture Conservation Easements (PACE) Applications & Baseline Documentation:

The application submitted to NRCS by Kokkonen last month is under review. A covenant has been found on the land and Kokkonen is awaiting further information from NRCS legal. A current easement is under review in regard to a possible sale of the land to a Nursery. Kokkonen is assisting the possible new owner with conservation planning tools.

### 16. Discussion on Items for the Next Agenda:

Possible agenda items include: Jefferson County Fair, Farm Technology Days

### 17. Next Scheduled Meeting:

June 19, 2019 @ 8:30 am in Room 112

### 18. Adjournment:

Herbst made a motion to adjourn at 9:40 am, Christensen seconded. Motion passed 7/0.

Kim Liakopoulos Administrative Specialist I ~ LWCD

### 2018-2019 Tree Program Report

Trees Ordered	4,700
Cost of Trees	\$4,020.00
Number of Trees Sold	4,700
Amount Collected	\$6,690.00
Unsold Tree Donation to Parks	0
Cost of Donation	\$0.00
Cost of Truck Rental	\$223.66
Planter Rental (3)	\$94.95
Supplies	\$0.00
Fuel (24.687 gallons @ \$2.74)	\$67.86
Fuel (5.047 gallons @ \$2.789)	\$14.08
Total Tree Program Revenue	\$2,459.35



#### DATCP REPORT

### Bureau of Land and Water Resources June 2019

### 2018 Wisconsin Land and Water Conservation Annual Report

• The <u>annual report</u> will be available on our website on June 4th. I will also send an email with the link to everyone directly. The report features and photos from over 15 counties to highlight conservation success. The report also includes maps and graphics to summarize information provided in your annual report to the agency. Please take the time to share the report with others in your county and networks to let people know all of

### 2019-2021 Biennial Budget

• Attached is a summary of selected items considered by the Joint Finance Committee on May 28, 2019.

#### **Farmland Preservation Program**

 The 2019 Agricultural Enterprise Area (AEA) Petition Cycle is now open. You can access petition materials on the Farmland Preservation Program's AEA <u>webpage</u>.1 Petitions are due to <u>DATCPWorkingLands@wisconsin.gov</u> by June 21, 2019. Please contact DATCP for a preliminary review of petition materials.

### Land and Water Conservation Board and LWRM Plans

• The June 4, 2019 LWCB meeting will be held in Chippewa County. Calumet, Burnett, and Chippewa counties will present their LWRM plan revisions to the board. Mark Borchardt will present "Agriculture-Related Risk Factors for Private Well Contamination in NE Wisconsin". The board will travel to Arrowhead Farms for a farm tour and Kevin Masarik will present "Groundwater Quality in WI: Local Approaches, Results, and Next Steps."

### **Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (CREP)**

• FSA is reopening enrollment in CREP and Continuous Sign Up beginning June 3, 2019. We have not received any further details at this time on changes that may be occurring to the program. We will keep you posted as we find those out. Please pass the word on to any landowners who you know are interested in installing conservation practices through CREP this year.

### **Staff Updates**

• Just a note to let you know that Mark Jenks is no longer with the Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection. Fortunately for all of us, Mark will still be involved in conservation in Wisconsin! Mark is the new county conservationist in Kenosha County starting on June 3. We will miss having Mark here, but wish him well in his new position!

### Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection

### 2019 – 2021 Biennial Budget

### **Summary of Selected Items**

On May 28, 2019, the Joint Finance Committee (JFC) of the state legislature considered natural resource items and approved an Omnibus Motion (Legislative Fiscal Bureau Papers #510 to #537, <a href="https://docs.legis.wisconsin.gov/misc/lfb/jfcmotions/2019/2019">https://docs.legis.wisconsin.gov/misc/lfb/jfcmotions/2019/2019</a> 05 28/003 natural resources departmen twide and environmental quality/001 omnibus motion 105 modifies all natural resources papers listed below) to modify items in the Governor's budget. DATCP related-items of interest to the LWCB are summarized below. Certain items in the Governor's budget were not directly considered by JFC and these items will remain without change in the budget presented to the legislature for its vote.

- I. In regard to the Environmental Fund Overview (Legislative Fiscal Bureau Paper #525 <a href="http://docs.legis.wisconsin.gov/misc/lfb/budget/2019\_21\_biennial\_budget/102\_budget\_papers/52">http://docs.legis.wisconsin.gov/misc/lfb/budget/2019\_21\_biennial\_budget/102\_budget\_papers/52</a> <a href="mailto:5\_natural\_resources\_environmental\_quality\_environmental\_fund\_overview.pdf">http://docs.legis.wisconsin.gov/misc/lfb/budget/2019\_21\_biennial\_budget/102\_budget\_papers/52</a> <a href="mailto:5\_natural\_resources\_environmental\_quality\_environmental\_fund\_overview.pdf">http://docs.legis.wisconsin.gov/misc/lfb/budget/2019\_21\_biennial\_budget/102\_budget\_papers/52</a> <a href="mailto:5\_natural\_resources\_environmental\_quality\_environmental\_fund\_overview.pdf">http://docs.legis.wisconsin.gov/misc/lfb/budget/2019\_21\_biennial\_budget/102\_budget\_papers/52</a> <a href="mailto:5\_natural\_resources\_environmental\_quality\_environmental\_fund\_overview.pdf">http://docs.legis.wisconsin.gov/misc/lfb/budget/2019\_21\_biennial\_budget/102\_budget\_papers/52</a> <a href="mailto:5\_natural\_resources\_environmental\_quality\_environmental\_fund\_overview.pdf">http://docs.legis.misconsenvironmental\_guality\_environmental\_fund\_overview.pdf</a>), JFC voted to:
  - Transfer \$6,150,000 annually from the environmental management account to the nonpoint account of the environmental fund on an ongoing basis.

*Comment:* This adjustment, which is permanent, will provide adequate funding in the nonpoint account to pay for SEG expenditures proposed by JFC.

- II. In regard to the Soil and Water Resource Management Funding (Legislative Fiscal Bureau Paper #536,http://docs.legis.wisconsin.gov/misc/lfb/budget/2019\_21\_biennial\_budget/102\_budget\_papers/536\_natural\_resources\_environmental\_quality\_soil\_and\_water\_resource\_management\_funding.pdf), JFC voted to:
  - Provide an additional \$850,000 in nonpoint SEG annually for soil and water resource management (SWRM) grants, raising annual funding to \$4,175,000 for nutrient management planning, contracts, and producer led watershed protection (PLWP) grants (Alternative A2 of Paper # 536).
  - Increase DATCP's authorization to award PLWP grants by an additional \$250,000, raising the maximum authority to \$500,000 annually. (Alternative B2 of Paper # 536).
  - Provide \$7,000,000 in general obligation bonding authority supported by the nonpoint account of the environmental fund to support cost-sharing grants to landowners for the installation of structural practices to prevent nonpoint pollution (Alternative C2 of Paper # 536).

Comment: JFC reduced funding proposed in the Governor's Budget, which provided:

- An additional \$1,500,000 in the annual base SEG appropriation of \$3,325,000 for SWRM grants and contracts, with PLWP eligible to receive \$750,000 in grants.
- \$10,000,000 in general obligation bonding for SWRM bond contracts.

- III. In regard to the County Conservation Staffing (Legislative Fiscal Bureau Paper #537,
   <a href="http://docs.legis.wisconsin.gov/misc/lfb/budget/2019\_21\_biennial\_budget/102\_budget\_papers/53">http://docs.legis.wisconsin.gov/misc/lfb/budget/2019\_21\_biennial\_budget/102\_budget\_papers/53</a>
   7 natural resources environmental quality county conservation staffing.pdf), JFC voted to:
  - Provide an additional \$475,000 nonpoint SEG annually on a one-time basis during the 2019-2021 biennium for grant to county conservation staff, raising annually funding to \$9,439,100, with annual appropriations of \$3,027,200 GPR and \$6,411,900 nonpoint SEG during the 2019-2021 biennium.

*Comment:* JFC reduced funding proposed in the Governor's Budget, which provided an increase of \$1.4 million per year over the annual base appropriations of \$8,964,100.



### Wisconsin Land+Water Conservation Association

131 W. Wilson Street, Suite #601 · Madison, Wisconsin 53703 (608) 441-2677 · Fax: (608) 441-2676 · www.wisconsinlandwater.org

### Priority Water Quality Improvement Recommendations for Speaker's Task Force on Water Quality

<u>Note</u>: This document is in response to the request made of WI Land+Water at the April 3, 2019 Speaker's Task Force on Water Quality hearing to prioritize water quality goals identified in the "<u>Food, Land, and Water: Moving Forward</u>" (FLW) report, and to provide associated fiscal estimates for each. In this document, specific FLW chapter references are found under each water quality improvement recommendation.

1) Target landowner cost-sharing toward implementing existing agricultural nonpoint pollution performance standards (NR 151), with a goal of statewide compliance by 2035. [Reference: Food, Land, and Water Report, Surface Water Quality Chapter, Objective 1]

Rationale: Current state law (s. 92.10 Wis. Stats.) requires every county in the state, through its Land and Water Resource Management (LWRM) Plan, to document how it will implement the state's agricultural nonpoint performance standards – baseline conservation expectations, originally adopted in 2002, that all farms are required to meet. State law also requires 70% (public) cost-sharing to be made available to landowners for implementation of standards on cropland or livestock facilities in existence since 2002; post-2002 or "new" facilities must meet standards without cost-sharing. This program is administered by counties, which must track progress toward implementation of the performance standards, which is evaluated and verified by DATCP through annual reports, and by the State Land and Water Conservation Board through LWRM plan updates and reports every 5-10 years.

<u>How this addresses water quality</u>: The agricultural performance standards include practices such as tillage setbacks from waterways, limitations on soil erosion rates, manure management, and nutrient management planning. Implementation of these practices builds soil health and productivity, which limits polluted runoff into surface waters, and provides a buffer against groundwater contamination.

<u>Fiscal estimate</u>: An accurate estimate of costs to fully implement the agricultural performance standards is difficult to provide. A 2000 DATCP and DNR analysis estimated annual implementation costs to be \$39.5 million on the low end and \$63.5 million on the high end for a 10-year period. In 2019 dollars, that's between \$52.7 and \$84.7 million per year. Since this time, additional performance standards have been added, the costs of which were not incorporated in this outdated estimate.

As such, we encourage the Task Force to obtain a verified and itemized fiscal estimate from state agencies for full implementation of agricultural performance standards, based on present-day standards.

### 2) Provide sustained baseline funding for county land and water conservation staff to provide technical support to implement farm conservation practices.

[Reference: Food, Land, and Water Report, Strategy from Surface Water Quality Chapter, Objective 2]

<u>Rationale</u>: County land and water conservation departments are Wisconsin's primary delivery system for professional, technical assistance to private landowners, helping them achieve conservation goals such as the agricultural performance standards. A critical component of this work is developing relationships, and building trust with landowners, which requires a sustained effort.

<u>How this addresses water quality</u>: Baseline funding for staff in county land and water conservation departments allows them to continue to build landowner relationships, implement agricultural performance standards, and get actual conservation practices on the landscape, all toward achieving clean water goals.

<u>Fiscal estimate</u>: In 2019, the state is \$3.4 million short of meeting even the baseline funding (\$12.4 million), to support an average of three staff per county at cost sharing rates of 100/70/50% per s. 92.14 Wis. Stats. (DATCP Soil & Water Resources Management grant program). Full implementation of the agricultural nonpoint performance standards will require a separate and more substantial investment, beyond this base level of funding for county land and water conservation services. [See Recommendation #5]

## 3) Improve groundwater monitoring and expand assistance to well owners affected by groundwater contamination.

[Reference: Food, Land, and Water Report, Groundwater Quality Chapter, Objectives 1 and 5]

- a. Expand nitrate and pathogen monitoring/testing programs; identify and map areas highly susceptible to nitrate and pathogen contamination.
  - [Reference: Food, Land, and Water Report, Strategy from Groundwater Quality Chapter, Objectives 1 and 3]
- b. Support research on nutrient management, including key challenges, best practices, implementation, and effectiveness in reducing groundwater contamination; In areas where existing standards are insufficient to protect against groundwater contamination, enact more stringent targeted performance standards.

[Reference: Strategy from Groundwater Quality Chapter, Objective 2]

c. Expand well compensation program eligibility requirements by eliminating livestock requirements, aligning with state and federal drinking water standard for nitrate of 10mg/L, and removing household income limits.

[Reference: Food, Land, and Water Report, Strategy from Groundwater Quality Chapter, Objective 5]

<u>Rationale</u>: One third of all Wisconsin families get their drinking water from private wells that draw from groundwater. Many private well owners have never tested their well, or do not do it often enough, and could be unknowingly exposed to contaminated drinking water. More assistance for well testing, and education on results is necessary to better protect public health and identify at-risk areas, particularly for nitrate and pathogens.

Performance standard compliance on all farm fields may help prevent nitrate and pathogen loss, however we need to better understand how effective particular standards and practices are in reducing drinking water contamination risk. In susceptible areas (such as the Silurian bedrock areas of eastern Wisconsin), targeted performance standards may be needed to better protect drinking water. More research and mapping are needed to appropriately identify vulnerable areas and provide validated land use practices to provide confidence and assurance to maintain economically viable productions.

Once contaminated, families face difficult and costly choices. The Well Compensation Program exists to assist homeowners in these situations, but eligibility is restricted to those who own livestock, have drinking water concentrations over four times the drinking water standard, and make less than \$65,000 in household income. These restrictions create hardships for homeowners struggling to provide safe drinking water for their families.

<u>How this addresses water quality</u>: Families need short-term solutions to ensure safe drinking water in their homes, and expanding the Well Compensation Program will help alleviate some of the hardships associated with well contamination. Expanding the private well monitoring program will assist homeowners in better understanding whether or not their drinking water is safe, while collecting valuable data to be used by land managers in protection planning efforts.

In order to make important business and resource decisions, farmers, land managers, and county conservation staff require a complete picture of vulnerable landscapes, as well as information on practices that effectively reduce nitrogen and pathogen loss. This information will support adoption of land use practices that better protect water quality.

<u>Fiscal estimate</u>: The current annual base funding for the Well Compensation Program is \$200,000, proposed to be increased by \$800,000 in Governor Evers' Executive Budget. However, the *Groundwater Coordinating Council Report to the Legislature* from 2018 estimates full replacement costs of 42,000 wells in Wisconsin that exceed the human health standard for nitrate to be \$446 million.

Due to regional differences in groundwater levels and geology, a statewide estimate of comprehensive monitoring, mapping, and research costs is difficult to provide. However,

examples from Kewaunee and Rock counties may provide a starting point for estimating these costs.

### 4) Improve delivery mechanism and compliance incentives for nutrient management (NM) planning on all Wisconsin farms, especially in highly susceptible areas.

[Reference: Food, Land, and Water Report, Groundwater Quality Chapter, Objective 2]

<u>Rationale</u>: As one of the agricultural nonpoint performance standards, it is a baseline expectation that all farms should employ and follow NM plans, particularly in susceptible areas where groundwater contamination is a possibility. However, 16 years after adoption, only 37% of Wisconsin's cropland is included in a nutrient management plan, and of that 37%, it is unknown what percent is in actual compliance with the plan. Fiscal estimates (see below) show that exploration of recurring tax credit incentives tied to conservation compliance (such as <a href="DATCP's Farmland Preservation Program">DATCP's Farmland Preservation Program</a>) should be considered as an alternative means to achieve better NM compliance.

<u>How this addresses water quality</u>: Nutrient management plans are *agronomic* standards, designed to efficiently yield a productive crop with minimal inputs like fertilizer. While they aren't explicitly designed to meet water quality standards (such as with Total Maximum Daily Load), implementation of NM plans is an important step toward better protection of our water resources.

<u>Fiscal estimate</u>: Using the original 2000 estimate of 10 million acres of cropland in the state, and the current cost-share rate of \$10/acre for 4 years, it would require \$400 million in cost-sharing to implement this single performance standard statewide. If 37% of cropland is currently in compliance, it would take \$268 million to obtain full compliance, or \$26.8 million/year for 10 years. The 2019 allocation of SEG funds for nutrient management was \$2.2 million. Clearly, a more sustainable funding model would help implement NM, and possibly other nonpoint performance standards.

#### 5) Generate new revenue sources to fund farm conservation practices.

[Reference: Food, Land, and Water Report, Groundwater Quality Chapter, Objective 2]

a. Create a new source of supplemental funding for counties to pursue a systematic implementation of agricultural nonpoint performance standards.

Rationale: Simply put, if Wisconsin is to make a dent in meeting its clean water goals, we must invest in it. Minnesota has generated \$860.1 million for water quality initiatives since 2010, with a 3/8 of a cent sales tax increase that is currently supported by 75% of taxpayers statewide. Other states have made bold investments in their conservation infrastructure, as well. It's time Wisconsin followed suit.

A new revenue source is necessary to ramp up work on implementing the agricultural nonpoint performance standards. Targeted, supplemental funding, similar to the "designated local program" promoted by counties in 2000 would provide the accountability needed for a coordinated statewide effort. This accelerated funding would go to county conservation departments to do farm evaluations, design conservation practices, administer cost-sharing grants, and ensure compliance with the agricultural performance standards.

How this addresses water quality: Minnesota's Clean Water funds have leveraged nearly \$200 million in federal funds between 2010-2017, or 73 cents for every implementation dollar invested. It has also resulted in nearly 50 waterbodies being removed from the state's Impaired Waters List. Water quality challenges are complex, long in the making, and require sustained effort to address. Bold conservation investments made by sister states provide us a road map.

A new source of supplemental water quality funding will allow counties to prioritize implementation of performance standards, which should be tracked and verified on a statewide basis. While this is a priority for most county conservation departments, limited resources mean it is weighed against multiple other priorities—implementation of ordinances, stormwater management, landowner education and outreach, invasive species management, addressing acute resource concerns, and much more. Incentivizing implementation of performance standards will result in increased adoption of conservation practices on the landscape and improved water quality across the board.

<u>Fiscal estimate</u>: The only fiscal estimate currently available is DATCP and DNR cost estimates from 2000. Adjusted to 2019 dollars, and compared to current nonpoint program funding levels, these estimates show that full implementation of the nonpoint performance standards would require an *increased annual allocation of \$41-73 million in landowner cost-sharing and \$7-10 million in conservation staff funding over a 10-year period*. Note that these estimates do not reflect additional nonpoint performance standards or cost-sharing requirements adopted since 2000, the conservation work that may be needed to meet TMDL planning targets, or the much higher level of staff funding involved in historical state nonpoint program efforts.



05/22/2019 Jefferson County PAGE 1 08:36:15 FLEXIBLE PERIOD REPORT glflxrpt

ACCOUNTS FOR: 100 General Fund	ORIGINAL APPROP	TRANFRS/ ADJSTMTS	REVISED BUDGET	ACTUALS	ENCUMBRANCES	AVAILABLE PCT BUDGET USED
12401 Land Conservation  12401 411100 General Property Taxes 12401 421001 State Aid 12401 432099 Other Permits 12401 451010 Sale Of Maps & Plat Books	-366,038 -183,500 -250 -250	0 0 0 0	-366,038 -183,500 -250 -250	-122,012.68 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00	-244,025.32 33.3% -183,500.00 .0% -250.00 .0% -250.00 .0%
12401 451020 Other Fees 12401 451421 Crep Cancellation Fee 12401 458001 Tree Sales 12401 458005 Ag & Hortic Supply Revenue 12401 458009 Livestock Siting App Review F 12401 458013 Farmland Cert Fee 12401 511110 Salary-Permanent Regular 12401 511210 Wages-Regular 12401 511220 Wages-Overtime 12401 511330 Wages-Longevity Pay 12401 512141 Social Security	-100 -100 -10,000 -250 -1,500 -22,500 99,040 310,512 0 1,234 31,425	0 0 0 0 0 0	-100 -100 -10,000 -250 -1,500 -22,500 99,040 310,512 0 1,234 31,425	.00 -101.71 -2,520.00 .00 -750.00 -15,580.00 61,847.92 96,645.40 12.47 156.38 11,854.01	.00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00	$\begin{array}{c} -100.00 & .0\$ \\ 1.71 & 101.7\$ \\ -7,480.00 & 25.2\$ \\ -250.00 & .0\$ \\ -750.00 & 50.0\$ \\ -6,920.00 & 69.2\$ \\ 37,192.08 & 62.4\$ \\ 213,866.60 & 31.1\$ \\ -12.47 & .0\$ \\ 1,077.62 & 12.7\$ \\ 19,570.99 & 37.7\$ \end{array}$
12401 512141 Social Security 12401 512142 Retirement (Employer) 12401 512144 Health Insurance 12401 512145 Life Insurance 12401 512150 FSA Contribution 12401 512151 HSA Contribution 12401 512173 Dental Insurance 12401 531301 Office Equipment 12401 531311 Postage & Box Rent 12401 531312 Office Supplies 12401 531313 Printing & Duplicating 12401 531314 Small Items Of Equipment	26,906 53,816 132 9,000 0 5,904 750 1,500 1,200 750 1,750	0 0 0 0 0 0	26,906 53,816 132 9,000 0 5,904 750 1,500 1,200 750 1,750	8,282.94 15,678.28 19.35 .00 8,000.00 1,476.37 .00 154.12 13.72 12.44	.00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00	18,623.06 30.8% 38,137.72 29.1% 112.65 14.7% 9,000.00 .0% -8,000.00 .0% 4,427.63 25.0% 750.00 .0% 1,345.88 10.3% 1,186.28 1.1% 737.56 1.7% 1,750.00 .0%
12401 531312 Publication Of Legal Notice 12401 531324 Membership Dues 12401 531341 Agricultural & Hortic Suppli 12401 531348 Educational Supplies 12401 531351 Gas/Diesel 12401 532325 Registration 12401 532332 Mileage 12401 532335 Meals 12401 532336 Lodging 12401 533236 Telephone & Fax 12401 533236 Wireless Internet 12401 535242 Maintain Machinery & Equip	50	0	2,000 6,000 150 1,200 1,000 200 200 600 500 1,850 1,000	1,796.00 1,796.00 .00 218.22 696.00 .00 76.96 605.98 36.53 391.94 272.22	.00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00	1,750.00 .0% 204.00 89.8% 6,000.00 .0% 150.00 .0% 981.78 18.2% 304.00 69.6% 200.00 .0% 123.04 38.5% -5.98 101.0% 463.47 7.3% 1,458.06 21.2% 727.78 27.2%



05/22/2019 Jefferson County PAGE 2 08:36:16 FLEXIBLE PERIOD REPORT glflxrpt

ACCOUNTS FOR: 100 General Fund	ORIGINAL APPROP	TRANFRS/ ADJSTMTS	REVISED BUDGET	ACTUALS	ENCUMBRANCES	AVAILABLE BUDGET	PCT USED
12401 535259 Tree Planter Service 12401 571004 IP Telephony Allocation 12401 571005 Duplicating Allocation 12401 571009 MIS PC Group Allocation 12401 571010 MIS Systems Grp Alloc(ISIS) 12401 591519 Other Insurance	100 661 221 17,042 4,519 3,276	0 0 0 0 0	100 661 221 17,042 4,519 3,276	.00 220.32 73.68 5,680.68 1,506.32 1,092.00	.00 .00 .00 .00 .00	100.00 440.68 147.32 11,361.32 3,012.68 2,184.00	.0% 33.3% 33.3% 33.3% 33.3% 33.3%
12402 Wildlife Crop Damage							
12402 421001 State Aid 12402 529299 Purchase Care & Services	-20,000 20,000	0 0	-20,000 20,000	-925.57 4,220.14	.00	-19,074.43 15,779.86	4.6% 21.1%
12403 Nutrient Management							
12403 458012 Public Land Consv Fees 12403 535349 Other Supplies	-250 250	0	-250 250	-40.00 20.52	.00	-210.00 229.48	16.0% 8.2%
12404 Local Cost Share Program							
12404 421001 State Aid 12404 521219 Other Professional Serv 12404 529299 Puchase Care & Services	-3,300 3,000 300	0 0 0	-3,300 3,000 300	.00	.00 .00 .00	-3,300.00 3,000.00 300.00	.0% .0% .0%
12405 DATCP Cost Share							
12405 421003 State Aid GPR 12405 421004 State Aid Bonded 12405 593701 Cost Share Payment	-14,000 -35,000 49,000	0 0 0	-14,000 -35,000 49,000	.00	.00 .00 .00	-14,000.00 -35,000.00 49,000.00	.0% .0% .0%
12406 Non-Metallic Mining							
12406 411100 General Property Taxes 12406 432005 Non-Metallic Annual Fee 12406 474175 Highway Billed 12406 531311 Postage & Box Rent 12406 531312 Office Supplies 12406 531348 Educational Supplies	10,995 -9,445 -2,035 60 50 25	0 0 0 0 0	10,995 -9,445 -2,035 60 50 25	3,665.00 .00 .00 12.80 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00 .00	7,330.00 -9,445.00 -2,035.00 47.20 50.00 25.00	33.3% .0% .0% 21.3% .0%



05/22/2019 Jefferson County PAGE 3 08:36:16 FLEXIBLE PERIOD REPORT glflxrpt

ACCOUNTS FOR: 100 General Fund	ORIGINAL APPROP	TRANFRS/ ADJSTMTS	REVISED BUDGET	ACTUALS	ENCUMBRANCES	AVAILABLE PCT BUDGET USED
12406 532325 Registration 12406 532332 Mileage 12406 532335 Meals 12406 532336 Lodging	150 50 50 100	0 0 0 0	150 50 50 100	.00 .00 .00 164.00	.00 .00 .00	150.00 .0% 50.00 .0% 50.00 .0% -64.00 164.0%
12407 Farmland Easement						
12407 481001 Interest & Dividends 12407 531311 Postage & Box Rent 12407 531312 Office Supplies 12407 531313 Printing & Duplicating 12407 594960 Capital Reserve 12407 699800 Resv Applied Capital	-400 15 300 18 125,201 -125,134	0 0 0 0 32,329 -32,329	-400 15 300 18 157,530 -157,463	-1,428.78 .50 125.00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00 .00	$\begin{array}{ccccc} 1,028.78 & 357.2\$ \\ & 14.50 & 3.3\$ \\ & 175.00 & 41.7\$ \\ & 18.00 & .0\$ \\ 157,530.20 & .0\$ \\ -157,463.20 & .0\$ \end{array}$
12408 County Farm						
12408 411100 General Property Taxes 12408 482003 County Farm Land Rent 12408 529170 Grounds Keeping Charges 12408 535249 Sundry Repair 12408 699999 Budgetary Fund Balance	104,188 -105,688 785 715 0	0 0 0 1,000 -1,000	104,188 -105,688 785 1,715 -1,000	34,729.32 .00 211.38 1,400.00	.00 .00 .00 .00	69,458.68 33.3% -105,688.00 .0% 573.62 26.9% 315.00 81.6% -1,000.00 .0%



05/22/2019 Jefferson County PAGE 4 08:36:16 Jefferson County glflxrpt

	ORIGINAL APPROP	TRANFRS/ ADJSTMTS	REVISED BUDGET	ACTUALS	ENCUMBRANCES	AVAILABLE BUDGET	PCT USED
GRAND TOTAL	0	0	0	118,010.17	.00	-118,010.17	.0%



05/22/2019Jefferson CountyPAGE 508:36:16FLEXIBLE PERIOD REPORTglflxrpt

#### REPORT OPTIONS

Field # Total Page Break From Yr/Per: 2019/ 1 Sequence 1 1 N Y To Yr/Per: 2019/ 4 Sequence 2 9 N N Budget Year: 2019 Sequence 3 0 N N Print totals only: N Sequence 4 0 N Ν Format type: 1 Double space: N Report title: Suppress zero bal accts: Y Amounts/totals exceed 999 million dollars: N FLEXIBLE PERIOD REPORT Roll projects to object: N Print journal detail: N
From Yr/Per: 2019/ 1
To Yr/Per: 2019/ 1
Include budget entries: Y
Incl encumb/liq entries: Y Includes accounts exceeding 0% of budget.
Print Full or Short description: F
Print full GL account: N
Sort by full GL account: N Print Revenues-Version headings: N Sort by JE # or PO #: J Print revenue as credit: Y Detail format option: 1 Print revenue budgets as zero: N Multiyear view: D